



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/965,897 | 09/28/2001 | Peter Kamvysselis | EMS-02002 | 3541 | |
| 26339 | 7590 10/04/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| MUIRHEAD AND SATURNELLI, LLC | | | SHINGLES, KRISTIE D | | |
| 200 FRIBERG PARKWAY, SUITE 1001 WESTBOROUGH, MA 01581 | | 01 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | 2141 | | |

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------------|--------------------|--|
| 09/965,897 | KAMVYSSELIS, PETER | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Kristie Shingles | 2141 | |

| • | Examiner . | Art Office | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Kristie Shingles | 2141 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>08 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: | owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep | iffidavit, or other evidence with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | | | |
| 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com | nliance with 37 CER 41 37 must be | e filed within two mor | iths of the date | | | | |
| of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal | of the appeal. | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further or | | | because | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | · · | TE below), | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | educing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | ejected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) | | • | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | | ompliant Amendmen | t (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | s): | | | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro | | vill be entered and an | explanation of | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>63-103</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | N. 42 . 6 A . 1 . 111 | .41 | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | eal and/or appellant fa | ails to provide a | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the reconsideration of th | · | , , | • • | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application | in condition for allow | ance because: | | | | |
| See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | . (5/55/55 6/ / 15-1440/ / aper | | | | | | |
| | | KDS/20050927 | | | | | |
| | • | 1100,20000021 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has amended claims with with an additional limitation that would require further search and consideration by the Examiner.

RUPAL DHARIA

SAVISORY PATENT EXAMINER